

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/809,142	03/15/2001	Eric J. Horvitz	MS171139.1	5357	
	7590 11/02/200 CY & CALVIN, LLP	7	EXAMINER		
24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST NINTH STREET			STRANGE, AARON N		
CLEVELAND			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2153		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/02/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com osteuball@thepatentattorneys.com

-				(V)		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/809,142	HORVITZ, ERIC J.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Aaron Strange	2153			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or or Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stareply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	4 August 2007.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20,22,23,25-39 and 41-44</u> is/are	pending in the application				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.				
5)🖂	Claim(s) 38 is/are allowed.	•				
	Claim(s) <u>1-20,22,23,25-37,39 and 41-44</u> is/	are rejected.	,			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) Objected	to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	•				
4.4.	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•	•).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attacr	led Office Action or form P1O-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		en received in this National Stage			
*	application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a		at received			
	See the attached detailed Office action for a	ist of the certified copies in	ot received.			
Attachme	nt(s)		·			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date			
3) 🛛 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice	of Informal Patent Application			
Pap	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>20070824</u> .	6)	·			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see pages 11-13, filed 6/29/2007, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-20, 22, 23, 25-39 and 41-43 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of May, set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20,22,23,25-39 and 41-43 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Theimer et al. (US 5,812,865) in view of May et al. (US 5,809,251).
- 4. With regard to claim 1, Theimer discloses a system for facilitating communication between entities, comprising:

one or more communication modalities (video, telephone, etc) that respectively provide for communication between at least two entities (at least Col 27, Lines 42-46), and

Application/Control Number: 09/809,142

Art Unit: 2153

a communication manager that analyzes a first communication data set associated with a first entity (user A preferences) and a second communication data set associated with a second entity (user B preferences) (at least Col 27, Lines 37-41 and Col 27, Line 60 to Col 28, Line 8), where at least one of the first entity and the second entity is a human being (Col 7, Lines 22-24), the communication manager identifying a subset of the one or more communication modalities based at least in part on analysis of the first and second communication data sets utilizing at least one of reasoning under uncertainty and deterministic processing (possible communication modalities are identified) (at least Col 60-62), and the communication manager establishing a communication between the entities via at least one modality of the subset (at least Col 28, Lines 9-13) based at least in part upon determining an expected utility associated with the communication ("best" connection is chosen) (at least Col 27, Line 60 to Col 28, Line 8).

Theimer fails to specifically disclose that the expected utility is based at least in part on cost benefit determination of utilizing at least one modality of the subset. May discloses a similar system for selecting a preferred modality for communication. May teaches selecting a preferred modality based at least in part on a cost benefit determination of utilizing a particular modality (col. 6, II. 4-24). May teaches weighing the cost of a particular channel with the urgency of the communication to determine which channel to use, or whether to wait until a later time to transmit the communication (col. 6, I. 66 to col. 7, I. 5). This would have been an advantageous addition to the

system disclosed by Theimer since it would have allowed users to consider cost when determine which modality to employ for a particular communication.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to base the expected utility of a particular modality on a cost benefit determination of utilizing that modality, since it would have allowed users to control costs incurred by sending/receiving communications.

- 5. With regard to claim 2, Theimer further discloses that the one or more communication modalities comprise at least one of: telephone modalities, facsimile modalities, computer modalities, paging modalities and personal modalities (at least Col 27, Lines 41-46).
- 6. With regard to claim 3, Theimer further discloses that the telephone modalities comprise at least one of: POTS telephony, cellular telephony, satellite telephony and Internet telephony (at least Col 6, Lines 30-32).
- 7. With regard to claim 4, Theimer further discloses that the computer modalities comprise at least one of: email, collaborative editing, instant messaging, network meetings, calendaring and home networking devices (at least Col 24, Lines 59-62).

Application/Control Number: 09/809,142 Page 5

Art Unit: 2153

8. With regard to claim 5, Theimer further discloses that the personal modalities comprise at least one of: videoconferencing, messengering and face-to-face meeting (at least Col 27, Line 60 to Col 28, Line 8).

- 9. With regard to claim 6, Theimer further discloses that establishing a communication includes at least one of scheduling one or more communications, calendaring one or more communications, displaying information concerning one or more possible communication modalities available for communication between the entities and initiating one or more communications (at least Col 28, Lines 9-13).
- 10. With regard to claim 7, Theimer further discloses that the first communication data set comprises a set of contactor context data (available media devices) and a set of contactor preference (preferred connection type) data (at least Col 27, Lines 37-46).
- 11. With regard to claim 8, Theimer further discloses that the second communication data set comprises a set of contactee context data (available media devices) and a set of contactor preference data (preferred connection types) (at least Col 27, Lines 53-59).
- 12. With regard to claim 9, Theimer further discloses that the communication manager comprises:

Application/Control Number: 09/809,142

Art Unit: 2153

a preference resolver adapted to analyze the set of contactee preference data and the set of contactor preference data and produces a resolved preference data (determine "best" connection type)(at least Col 27, Line 60 to Col 28, Line 8);

a context analyzer adapted to analyze the set of contactee context data and the set of contactor context data and produce an analyzed context data (determine available media devices at both locations) (at least Col 27, Lines 35-41 and 53-59);

a channel analyzer adapted to analyze the possible communication channels between a contactor and a contactee and produce a communication channel data (determine available connection types) (at least Col 27, Lines 60-62); and

a communication establisher adapted to establish a communication between the contactor and the contactee based, at least in part, on the resolved preference data, the analyzed context data, entity selection data and the communication channel data (initiate connection over the "best" communication path) (at least Col 27, Line 65 to Col 28, Line 13).

- 13. With regard to claim 10, Theimer further discloses that the set of contactee context data comprises contactee hardware data (available media devices), contactee software data (available connection types) and contactee observed data (user location/user preferences) (at least Col 27, Lines 53-60).
- 14. With regard to claim 11, Theimer further discloses that the set of contactor context data comprises contactor hardware data (available media devices), contactor

Art Unit: 2153

software data (available connection types) and contactor observed data (acceptable connection types) (at least Col 27, Lines 35-41).

Page 7

- 15. With regard to claim 12, Theimer further discloses that the contactee hardware data comprises at least one of capacity data, bandwidth data, availability data, status data, cost data, revision data and hardware metadata (available devices)(at least Col 27, Lines 53-60).
- 16. With regard to claim 13, Theimer further discloses that the contactee software data comprises at least one of capacity data, version data, cost data and capability data (available connection types) (at least Col 27, Lines 53-60).
- 17. With regard to claim 14, Theimer further discloses that the contactee observed data comprises at least one of time of the day data, current activity data, current task data, calendar data, location data, contactor to contactee history data, attentional status data, contactor class data, environment data and communication needs data (user location/user preferences, etc.) (at least Col 27, Lines 53-60).
- 18. With regard to claim 15, Theimer further discloses that the contactee preference data comprises at least one of contactee preference hardware data, contactee preference software data (preferred connection types)(at least Col 27, Lines 53-59), contactee preference time of the day data, contactee preference calendar data (disable

Art Unit: 2153

messages during meeting) (at least Col 13, Lines 25-31), contactee preference contactor priority list data and contactee preference special needs data.

- 19. With regard to claim 16, Theimer further discloses that the contactor hardware data comprises at least one of capacity data, bandwidth data, availability data (available media devices), status data, cost data, revision data and hardware metadata (at least Col 27, Lines 35-41).
- 20. With regard to claim 17, Theimer further discloses that the contactor software data comprises at least one of capacity data, version data, cost data and capability data (available connection types) (at least Col 27, Lines 35-41).
- 21. With regard to claim 18, Theimer further discloses that the contactor observed data comprises at least one of time of the day data, current activity data, current task data, calendar data, location data, contactor to contactee history data, attentional status data, contactee class data, environment data and communication needs data (acceptable connection types) (at least Col 27, Lines 37-46).
- 22. With regard to claim 19, Theimer further discloses that the contactor preference data comprises at least one of contactor preference hardware data, contactor preference software data (preferred connection types), contactor preference time of the

Application/Control Number: 09/809,142 Page 9

Art Unit: 2153

day data, contactor preference calendar data and contactor preference special needs data (at least Col 27, Lines 37-46).

- 23. With regard to claim 20, Theimer further discloses that the communication manager is further operable to perform at least one of the preference resolver inferring probabilities for unspecified preference, the context analyzer is operable to infer probabilities for context data and the channel analyzer is operable to infer probabilities for channel data ("best" connection is established based on combined user preferences) (at least Col 27, Line 62 to Col 28, Line 8).
- 24. Claims 22,23,25-37,39,41,42 and 43 are rejected under the same rationale as claims 1-20, since they recite substantially identical subject matter. Any differences between the claims do not result in patentably distinct claims and all of the limitations are taught by the above cited art.
- 25. With regard to claim 44, May further discloses that the cost benefit determination is made for each of a plurality of communication modalities and a comparison of the cost benefit determinations is performed to determine which communication modality to employ to establish the communication (col. 6, I. 66 to col. 7, I. 5).

Allowable Subject Matter

26. Claim 38 is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/809,142 Page 10

Art Unit: 2153

Conclusion

27. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aaron Strange whose telephone number is 571-272-3959. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Burgess can be reached on 571-272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AS 10/19/07

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100